

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,356	10/06/2003	Chiaki Ishii	58600-8229.US00	5651
79975 King & Spaldi	7590 02/25/201	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 889		POPA, ILEANA		
Belmont, CA 9	94002-0889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent un Reexamination	der
10/680,356	ISHII ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
JOSEPH T. WOITACH	1633	

Part of Paper No. 20100223

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 7 January 2010.			
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):	nd a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Potential ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the			
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has beer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re applicant at this time.	n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of mains closed. No further action is required by			
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution — A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.				
All participants:	•			
(1) Joseph Woitach.	(3) <u>Gerald Leffers</u> .			
(2) <u>Ileana Popa</u> .	(4)			
/Joseph T. Woitach/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1633				